1	KIMBERLY A. DONOVAN, State Bar No. 160 kdonovan@gcalaw.com	729
2	ROBERT ANDRIS, State Bar No. 130290 RAndris@gcalaw.com	
3	GCA LAW PARTNERS LLP	
4	2570 W. El Camino Real, Suite 400 Mountain View, CA 94040 Telephone: (650) 428-3900	
5	Facsimile: (650) 428-3901	
6	Attorneys for Defendant SUNVALLEYTEK INTERNATIONAL, INC.	
7		EINIZEI CTEINI DI ANIZINGILID
8	KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP Laurence D. King (SBN 206423) Mario M. Choi (SBN 243409)	FINKELSTEIN, BLANKINSHIP, FREI-PEARSON & GARBER, LLP D. Greg Blankinship (pro hac vice)
9	350 Sansome Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94116	Jean M. Sedlak (SBN 267659) 445 Hamilton Ave, Suite 605
10	Telephone: (415) 772-4700 Facsimile: (415) 772- 4707	White Plains, New York 10601 Telephone: (914) 298-3290
11	lking@kaplanfox.com mchoi@kaplanfox.com	gblankinship@fbfglaw.com jsedlak@fbfglaw.com
12		Jsediak@forgiaw.com
13	Counsel for Plaintiffs INES BURGOS and MONGKOL	
14	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
15		ICT OF CALIFORNIA
	O A IZT A NI	DIVICION
16	OAKLANI	DIVISION
16 17	INES BURGOS and MONGKOL	O DIVISION Case No. 4:18-cv-06910-HSG
17	INES BURGOS and MONGKOL MAHAVONGTRAKUL, individually and on	Case No. 4:18-cv-06910-HSG STIPULATION AND ORDER
17 18	INES BURGOS and MONGKOL MAHAVONGTRAKUL, individually and on behalf of other similarly situated individuals,	Case No. 4:18-cv-06910-HSG STIPULATION AND ORDER
17 18 19	INES BURGOS and MONGKOL MAHAVONGTRAKUL, individually and on behalf of other similarly situated individuals, Plaintiffs,	Case No. 4:18-cv-06910-HSG STIPULATION AND ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS Judge: Hon. Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr. Ctrm: 2, 4th Floor Date: n/a
17 18 19 20	INES BURGOS and MONGKOL MAHAVONGTRAKUL, individually and on behalf of other similarly situated individuals, Plaintiffs, v.	Case No. 4:18-cv-06910-HSG STIPULATION AND ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS Judge: Hon. Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr. Ctrm: 2, 4th Floor
17 18 19 20 21	INES BURGOS and MONGKOL MAHAVONGTRAKUL, individually and on behalf of other similarly situated individuals, Plaintiffs, v. SUNVALLEYTEK INTERNATIONAL,	Case No. 4:18-cv-06910-HSG STIPULATION AND ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS Judge: Hon. Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr. Ctrm: 2, 4th Floor Date: n/a
17 18 19 20 21 22	INES BURGOS and MONGKOL MAHAVONGTRAKUL, individually and on behalf of other similarly situated individuals, Plaintiffs, v. SUNVALLEYTEK INTERNATIONAL, INC.,	Case No. 4:18-cv-06910-HSG STIPULATION AND ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS Judge: Hon. Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr. Ctrm: 2, 4th Floor Date: n/a
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	INES BURGOS and MONGKOL MAHAVONGTRAKUL, individually and on behalf of other similarly situated individuals, Plaintiffs, v. SUNVALLEYTEK INTERNATIONAL, INC.,	Case No. 4:18-cv-06910-HSG STIPULATION AND ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS Judge: Hon. Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr. Ctrm: 2, 4th Floor Date: n/a
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	INES BURGOS and MONGKOL MAHAVONGTRAKUL, individually and on behalf of other similarly situated individuals, Plaintiffs, v. SUNVALLEYTEK INTERNATIONAL, INC.,	Case No. 4:18-cv-06910-HSG STIPULATION AND ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS Judge: Hon. Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr. Ctrm: 2, 4th Floor Date: n/a
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	INES BURGOS and MONGKOL MAHAVONGTRAKUL, individually and on behalf of other similarly situated individuals, Plaintiffs, v. SUNVALLEYTEK INTERNATIONAL, INC.,	Case No. 4:18-cv-06910-HSG STIPULATION AND ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS Judge: Hon. Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr. Ctrm: 2, 4th Floor Date: n/a
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	INES BURGOS and MONGKOL MAHAVONGTRAKUL, individually and on behalf of other similarly situated individuals, Plaintiffs, v. SUNVALLEYTEK INTERNATIONAL, INC.,	Case No. 4:18-cv-06910-HSG STIPULATION AND ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS Judge: Hon. Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr. Ctrm: 2, 4th Floor Date: n/a

{00299221 } -1- ADR ORDER Case No. 4:18-cv-06910-HSG

1	Pursuant to the Court's directive at the February 12, 2019 Case Management Conference,	
2	Plaintiffs Ines Burgos and Mongkol Mahavongtrakul (collectively "Plaintiffs"), and Defendant	
3	Sunvalleytek International, Inc. ("Defendant," and with Plaintiffs, the "Parties"), have met and	
4	conferred and, pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5, jointly submit the following ADR	
5	proposal.	
6	The Parties have agreed to participate in mediation through the Court's ADR Unit for this	
7	dispute.	
8		
9	DATED: Eshmony 15, 2010 FINKELSTEIN, BLANKINSHIP,	
10	DATED: February 15, 2019 FREI-PEARSON & GARBER, LLP	
11	By: <u>/s/ Greg Blankinship</u> D. GREG BLANKINSHIP (pro hac vice)	
12	KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER, LLP	
13	By:/s/Mario M. Choi	
14	MARIO M. CHOI	
15	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
16	GCA LAW PARTNERS, LLP	
17	By:/s/Kimberly A. Donovan	
18	KIMBERLY A. DONOVAN	
19	Attorneys for Defendant	
20		
21	ATTEST ATION DUDGUANT TO CIVIL LOCAL DULE 5 1(:\/2)	
22	ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO CIVIL LOCAL RULE 5-1(i)(3)	
23	I, Kimberly A. Donovan, attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been	
24	obtained from the other signatories. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the	
25	United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.	
26	Executed this 15th day of February, 2019, at Mountain View, California.	
27	/s/ Kimberlv A. Donovan	
28	<u>/s/ Kimberly A. Donovan</u> KIMBERLY A. DONOVAN	

1	<u>ORDER</u>
2	The Court GRANTS the parties agreement to participate in mediation through the Court's
3	ADR Unit with the presumptive deadline (90 days from the date of this order referring the case to
4	ADR).
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	α , α α α
7	Dated: February 19, 2019 HON. HAYWOOD S. GILLIAM, JR. LINETED STATES DISTRICT HIDGE
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	